

# Actuarial Estimates and MLEs in Survival Analysis

Stanley Sawyer — Washington University — October 2, 2005

Assume that we have demographic data for a population over a series of times

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_r \quad (1)$$

We assume that the data is *longitudinal*, which means that we follow that same  $N = n_1$  individuals over  $r$  time intervals, as opposed to observing different individuals in different time intervals. In more detail, let

$n_i$  be the number being followed (or “at risk”) just before time  $t_{i-1}$ ,  
 $d_i$  be the number of observed deaths in  $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$ ,  
 $c_i$  be the number of censored individuals in  $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$ , and  
 $\Delta_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$  be the length of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  time interval

where  $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$  means times  $t_{i-1} \leq t < t_i$ . Thus  $n_{i+1} = n_i - d_i - c_i$  and  $c_i$  is the number of individuals who were last seen at time  $t_{i-1}$  but are not observed at times  $t \geq t_i$ .

The underlying probability model is that individuals die at rate  $\alpha_i$  in the interval  $(t_{i-1}, t_i)$  (whether they are observed or not) and that they are censored (that is, drop out alive) at rate  $\beta_i$ . The probability that an individual survives until time  $t = t_j$  is then

$$S(t_j) = \prod_{t_i \leq t_j} e^{-\alpha_i \Delta_i} = \prod_{t_i \leq t_j} e^{-\mu_i} \quad \text{for } \mu_i = \alpha_i \Delta_i \quad (2)$$

Similarly, set  $\nu_i = \beta_i \Delta_i$  where  $\beta_i$  is the censoring rate. Note that the censoring parameters  $\nu_i$  and  $\beta_i$  do not enter (2) directly. However, they enter implicitly, since we do not know how many of the initial  $n_i$  intervals in any time interval were censored before they had time to die.

By definition, the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of  $S(t)$  is that function  $S(t)$  in the class (2) that maximizes the likelihood or probability of observing all of the data  $(n_i, d_i, c_i)$ . From (2), the MLE of  $S(t)$  depends only on the MLEs  $\hat{\mu}_i$  of the  $\mu_i$ , where  $\hat{\mu}_i$  depends on the counts  $(n_i, d_i, c_i)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) for  $S(t)$  in (2) for data  $(n_i, d_i, c_i)$  is*

$$\hat{S}(t) = \prod_{t_i \leq t} \left( 1 - \frac{(d_i + c_i)}{n_i} \right)^{d_i / (d_i + c_i)} \quad (3)$$

**Theorem 2.** Within errors of the form  $O(1/n_i^3)$  for large  $n_i$ , the estimator  $\widehat{S}(t)$  in (3) is the same as

$$\widehat{S}(t) = \prod_{t_i \leq t} \left( 1 - \frac{d_i}{n_i - (1/2)c_i} \right) \tag{4}$$

**Remarks.** Equation (4) is usually called the *Actuarial Estimator* of  $S(t)$ . The notation  $O(1/n^3)$  (due to Landau) stands for any expression that is bounded by  $C/n^3$  for  $n \geq 1$  for some fixed (but unknown) constant  $C < \infty$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppressing subscripts for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  interval, the probability that any one individual out of  $n = n_i$  individuals neither died nor was censored in the time interval is  $\exp(-\mu - \nu) = \exp(-(\alpha + \beta)\Delta)$ , since  $\alpha = \alpha_i$  and  $\beta = \beta_i$  are rates and  $\Delta$  is the length of the time interval. Thus the probability that  $m = d + c$  individuals out of the initial  $n = n_i$  individuals either died or were censored is

$$\frac{n!}{(n - m)! m!} (e^{-\mu - \nu})^{n - m} (1 - e^{-\mu - \nu})^m \tag{5a}$$

In general, the probability that a given individual eventually dies before he or she is censored is  $\kappa = \mu / (\mu + \nu) = \alpha / (\alpha + \beta)$ . One way to see this is to use the fact that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent exponentially-distributed random variables with rates  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively, then  $P(X < Y) = \kappa = \alpha / (\alpha + \beta)$ .

Thus, conditional on  $m = d + c$  individuals having died or been censored in the time interval  $(t_{i-1}, t_i)$ , the probability that we observed  $d$  died and  $c$  censored is

$$\frac{m!}{d! c!} \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu + \nu} \right)^d \left( \frac{\nu}{\mu + \nu} \right)^c \tag{5b}$$

Since (5b) is the probability of observing  $(d, c)$  conditional on  $m = d + c$ , and (5a) is the probability of observing  $m = d + c$  out of  $n$ , the probability of observing  $(d, c, n - d - c)$  for observed deaths, censoring events, and neither is the product of (5a) and (5b), which is the trinomial probability

$$\frac{n!}{(n - d - c)! d! c!} (e^{-\mu - \nu})^{n - d - c} \left[ (1 - e^{-\mu - \nu}) \frac{\mu}{\mu + \nu} \right]^d \left[ (1 - e^{-\mu - \nu}) \frac{\nu}{\mu + \nu} \right]^c$$

In terms of  $\lambda = e^{-\mu - \nu}$  and  $\kappa = \mu / (\mu + \nu)$ , this is

$$\frac{n!}{(n - d - c)! d! c!} \lambda^{n - d - c} (1 - \lambda)^{d + c} \kappa^d (1 - \kappa)^c \tag{6}$$

For given values  $(d, c, n)$ , the probability in (6) is maximized when  $\lambda = \hat{\lambda} = (n - d - c)/n$  and  $\kappa = \hat{\kappa} = d/(d + c)$ . (*Exercise: Prove this.*)

In particular, the trinomial likelihood after (5b) is maximized for those values  $\hat{\mu} = \mu$  and  $\hat{\nu} = \nu$  that are equivalent to  $\lambda = \hat{\lambda} = (n - d - c)/n$  and  $\kappa = \hat{\kappa} = d/(d + c)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu} &= (-\log \hat{\lambda}) \hat{\kappa} = -\log \left( 1 - \frac{d+c}{n} \right) \frac{d}{d+c} \\ e^{-\hat{\mu}} &= \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\kappa}} = \left( 1 - \frac{d+c}{n} \right)^{d/d+c} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1, or equivalently of equation (3).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Expanding the logarithm in (7) in a power series,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu} &= \left( \left( \frac{d+c}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d+c}{n} \right)^2 + O \left( \frac{1}{n^3} \right) \right) \frac{d}{d+c} \\ &= \frac{d}{n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d+c}{n} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{n^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{n} \left( \frac{1}{1 - (1/2)(d+c)/n} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{n^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{n - (d+c)/2} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^3} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The first term on the right in (8) is the intuitive estimate for the *hazard rate*  $\mu = \mu_i$ , but not for the *survival probability*  $e^{-\mu_i}$  in (2). For the latter, we need  $e^{-\hat{\mu}_i}$  from  $\hat{\mu}_i$  in (8). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - e^{-\hat{\mu}} &= \hat{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mu}^2 + O(\hat{\mu}^3) \\ &= \frac{d}{n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d+c}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{n} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c}{n} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{n^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{d/n}{1 - (1/2)c/n} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{n - c/2} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

This implies (4), which completes the proof of Theorem 2.