Homework set 3 - due 09/20/24

Math 5047

Turn in problems 3, 4, 5, 6.

- 1. Read all of Chapter 4 of Lee's text.
- 2. Read Chapter 5 of Lee's text up to page 26 (end of section 'Connections on Abstract Riemannian Manifolds').
- 3. Parallel transport. Let *M* be a Riemannian manifold. Consider the mapping

$$P = P_{c,t_0,t} : T_{c(t_0)}M \to T_{c(t)}M$$

defined by: $P_{c,t_0,t}(v)$, $v \in T_{c(t_0)}M$, is the vector obtained by parallel transporting the vector v along the curve c. Show that P is an isometry and that, if M is oriented, P preserves the orientation.

4. **Recovering the connection from parallel transport.** Let *X* and *Y* be differentiable vector fields on a Riemannian manifold *M*. Let $p \in M$ and let $c : I \to M$ be an integral curve of *X* through *p*, i.e. $c(t_0) = p$ and $\frac{dc}{dt} = X(c(t))$. Prove that the Riemannian connection of *M* satisfies

$$(\nabla_X Y)(p) = \frac{d}{dt} (P_{c,t_0,t})^{-1} (Y(c(t))) \Big|_{t=t_0},$$

where $P_{c,t_0,t}: T_{c(t_0)}M \to T_{c(t)}M$ is the parallel transport along *c*, from t_0 to *t*. (This shows how the connection can be recovered from the concept of parallelism.)

5. Levi-Civita connection of a submanifold. Let $f: M^n \to \overline{M}^{n+k}$ be an immersion of a differentiable manifold M into a Riemannian manifold \overline{M} . Assume that M has the Riemannian metric induced by f. That is, the Riemannian inner product on T_pM is the restriction to T_pM of the Riemannian inner product on $T_p\overline{M}$. Let $p \in M$ and let $U \subseteq M$ be a neighborhood of p such that $f(U) \subseteq \overline{M}$ is a submanifold of \overline{M} . Further, suppose that X, Y are differentiable vector fields on f(U) which extend to differentiable vector fields $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ on an open set of \overline{M} . Define

 $(\nabla_X Y)(p) = \text{tangential component of } \left(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y}\right)(p),$

where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of \overline{M} . Prove that ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of M.

- 6. **Covariant derivative of vector field over a constant curve.** Let *M* be a Riemannian manifold and let *p* be a point of *M*. Consider a constant curve $f: I \to M$ given by f(t) = p, for all $t \in I$. Let *V* be a vector field along *f* (that is, *V* is a differentiable mapping of *I* into T_pM). Show that $\frac{DV}{dt} = \frac{dV}{dt}$, that is to say, the covariant derivative coincides with the usual derivative of $V: I \to T_pM$.
- 7. Horizontal and vertical subbundles of *TTM*. This extended discussion contains a very useful characterization of connections on a vector bundle *N*. We will be a bit sketchy. See, for example, *Differential Geometric Structures* by Walter P. Poor for details omitted here.

We begin by considering N = TM, the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold M, and $\pi : N \to M$ the base-point projection. It will be convenient to indicate a point $(p, v) \in N$ simply by v, with $\pi(v) = p$. At each $v \in N$ define the *vertical* subspace $\mathcal{V}_v \subseteq T_v N$ to be the kernel of $d\pi_v : T_v N \to T_p M$. The disjoint union $\mathcal{V} = \coprod_{v \in TM} \mathcal{V}_v$ with the natural base-point projection $\mathcal{V} \to N$, which maps $\xi \in \mathcal{V}_v$ to v, is easily shown to be a smooth vector subbundle of TN. Notice that \mathcal{V}_v is the tangent space at v of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(p)$, where $p = \pi(v)$.

In general, there is no canonical way to select a complementary subbundle to \mathcal{V} , that is, a vector subbundle \mathcal{H} of TN such that $TN = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ (direct sum of vector bundles). A choice of such *horizontal* subbundle is (essentially) a choice of connection on TM, as you will show.

(a) Show that each vertical subspace $\mathcal{V}_{v} \subseteq T_{v}N$ is canonically isomorphic to $T_{p}M$, $p = \pi(v)$. Specifically, check that the map

$$\mathcal{I}_{v}: w \in T_{p}M \mapsto \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (v+tw) \in \mathcal{V}_{v}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

(b) Let ∇ be an affine connection on *TM*. For each $v \in N$, $p = \pi(v) \in M$, and *X* a smooth vector field on an open subset of *M* containing *p* such that X(p) = v, consider the linear map

$$\mathcal{K}_{v}: w \in T_{p}M \mapsto dX_{p}w - \mathscr{I}_{v}\nabla_{w}X \in T_{v}N.$$

Check that: (1) \mathcal{K}_v only depends on the value of *X* at *p*; and (2) it satisfies

$$d\pi_v \circ \mathscr{K}_v = \mathrm{id}_{T_v M}.$$

The image \mathcal{H}_p of $T_p M$ under this map is called the *horizontal* subspace of $T_v N$ associated to the connection ∇ . It follows from the second part of this item that the \mathcal{H}_p have dimension $n = \dim M$ and

$$d\pi_v: \mathscr{H}_v \to T_p M$$

is a linear isomorphism. It can be shown (no need to prove it here) that $v \mapsto \mathcal{H}_v$ is a smooth vector subbundle of *TN* called the *horizontal* subbundle.

(c) For each $v \in N$, $p = \pi(v)$, and $w \in T_p M$, let $t \mapsto c(t)$ be a smooth curve in *M* representing *w* (i.e., such that c(0) = p, c'(0) = w). Let V(t) be the parallel transport of *v* along c(t) in *M*. Then $t \mapsto V(t)$ is a smooth curve in *N* such that V(0) = v and $V'(0) \in T_v N$. Check that $V'(0) \in \mathcal{H}_v$ and

$$d\pi_v V'(0) = c'(0) = w.$$

(d) Check that $T_v N = \mathcal{V}_v \oplus \mathcal{H}_v$, the direct sum of the vertical and horizontal subspaces. (Since both \mathcal{V}_v and \mathcal{H}_v have dimension dim(M), which is half of the dimension of N, it suffices to check that $\mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{H}_v = \{0\}$.) This direct sum decomposition allows us to define the linear map

$$K_{\nu}: T_{\nu}N \to T_{p}M \cong \mathscr{I}_{p}T_{p}M = \mathscr{V}_{\nu}$$

called the *connection map*. Thus the kernel of K_v is \mathcal{H}_v and $K_v \circ \mathcal{I}_p$ is the identity map on $T_p M$.

- (e) For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mu_a : N \to N$ be defined by $\mu_a(v) = av$. Show that $d(\mu_a)_v : T_v N \to T_{av} N$ maps \mathcal{H}_v to \mathcal{H}_{av} . We say that the horizontal subbundle is *homogeneous*. (The vertical subbundle is also homogeneous.)
- (f) (This remark won't be needed later, but you may find it interesting if you saw modules in an algebra course.)

A short exact sequence of modules over a fixed ring

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{a} B \xrightarrow{b} C \to 0$$

is called *split exact* if it is isomorphic to the exact sequence:

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{i} A \oplus C \xrightarrow{p} C \to 0$$

where *i* is inclusion and *p* is projection. What we have obtained above is that an affine connection on *TM* gives rise to a splitting of the short exact sequence of modules over $C^{\infty}(M)$:

$$\mathfrak{X}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{I}} \Gamma(TN) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

so that $\Gamma(TN) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, a direct sum of modules over $C^{\infty}(M)$.

(g) Let \mathcal{H} be any complementary vector subbundle of \mathcal{V} in TN that satisfies the homogeneity property of the above item (7e). Let

$$K_v: T_v N = \mathcal{V}_v \oplus \mathcal{H}_v \to \mathcal{V}_v \cong T_p M$$

be the projection to the vertical subspace, which is canonically isomorphic to $T_p M$. Show that

$$\nabla_w X := K_v dX_p w,$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, defines a connection on *TM*. Check that parallel translation with respect to this connection has the following description: Let $t \mapsto c(t)$ be a smooth curve on *M* and $v \in T_{c(0)}M$. Then *c* has a unique lift $\overline{c}(t)$, a curve in *N* such that $\pi \circ \overline{c}(t) = c(t)$, $\overline{c}(0) = v$, and $\overline{c}'(t) \in \mathscr{H}_{\overline{c}(t)}$. Note that $\overline{c}(t)$ can be viewed as a vector field along c(t) in *M*.

- (h) Convince yourself that all that we have done above works just as well if we replace *TM* with a general vector bundle π : N → M. That is, a connection on N is equivalent to a splitting of *TN* into a direct sum V ⊕ ℋ of vertical and horizontal bundles, where for each e ∈ N, V_e is canonically isomorphic to the fiber N_p, p = π(e), and ℋ_e is homogeneous and isomorphic to T_pM. Parallel transport in M amounts to the horizontal lifting of curves in M.
- 8. **Parallel transport on** S^2 . Let $S^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be the unit sphere, *c* an arbitrary parallel of latitude on S^2 and v_0 a tangent vector to S^2 at a point of *c*. Convince yourself that the following claim holds. Consider the cone tangent to S^2 that intersects S^2 at *c*. Then the parallel transport of v_0 along *c* is the same whether it is performed on the sphere or on the cone. Furthermore, parallel translation on the cone is obtained by cutting it along a ray and unrolling it flat on \mathbb{R}^2 . Then parallel translation on the cone is then simply vector space translation in \mathbb{R}^2 .
- 9. Review of exterior calculus (or calculus of differential forms). The following are all definitions.
 - (a) **Interior product**. Let *X* be a vector field on the smooth manifold *M*. Given a *k*-form θ on *M*, we define the (k-1)-form $i_X \theta$ to be 0 if k = 0 and

$$(i_X\theta)(Y_1,...,Y_{k-1}) = \theta(X,Y_1,...,Y_{k-1})$$

if $k \ge 1$, where Y_1, \ldots, Y_{k-1} are smooth vector fields.

(b) Lie derivative of differential forms. Let *X* be a smooth vector field on a smooth manifold *M*. Recall that to *X* one can associate its (local) flow Φ_t such that for any given $p \in M$, $\Phi_t(p)$ is the integral curve of *X* with

initial condition $\Phi_0(p) = 0$, defined for some open interval in *t* containing 0. In other words, $\gamma(t) = \Phi_t(p)$ satisfies the initial value problem

$$\gamma'(t) = X_{\gamma(t)}, \ \gamma(0) = p.$$

From the general theory of differential equations we know that there is a unique solution (over a maximal interval for *t*) and that Φ_t defines a local flow of diffeomorphisms: $\Phi_{t+s} = \Phi_t \circ \Phi_s$ whenever the composition makes sense. In addition, Φ_0 is the identity diffeomorphism. (Under certain conditions, e.g., when the manifold is compact, we know that Φ_t is a diffeomorphism of *M* for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In such cases we say that Φ_t defines a flow on *M*.) If θ is a differential form on *M*, it makes sense (for *t* sufficiently close to 0) to define the pullback $\Phi_t^* \theta$. The *Lie derivative* of θ with respect to *X* at $p \in M$ is defined by

$$(\mathscr{L}_X\theta)_p := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(\Phi_t^*\theta)_p - \theta_p}{t} = \frac{d}{dt} (\Phi_t^*\theta)_p \Big|_{t=0}$$

A similar definition applies to vector fields if we define $\Phi_t^* Y := (\Phi_{-t})_* Y$, where *Y* is a smooth vector field on *M*. (With a little thought, it is not difficult to figure out how to define the Lie derivative for a general tensor field. We may return to this later.)

10. Interior multiplication as a signed derivation. Show that i_X satisfies the following signed product rule:

$$i_X(\theta \wedge \eta) = i_X \theta \wedge \eta + (-1)^k \theta \wedge i_X \eta$$

where θ is a *k*-form and η is an arbitrary differential form. (This is a pointwise operation; there are no derivatives actually involved. Note: You can find the proof of this fact in John Lee's "Introduction to Smooth Manifolds," second edition, Lemma 14.13, page 358. You can get a pdf of this text from the Olin library.)

11. Properties of the Lie derivative

- (a) Show that if *f* is a smooth function on *M* (a 0-form) then $\mathscr{L}_X f = X f$.
- (b) Show that \mathscr{L}_X commutes with the exterior derivative: $\mathscr{L}_X d = d\mathscr{L}_X$.
- (c) Show that if θ_1, θ_2 are arbitrary smooth forms,

$$\mathscr{L}_X(\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2) = \mathscr{L}_X \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \theta_1 \wedge \mathscr{L}_X \theta_2$$

(d) Show that

$$\mathscr{L}_X Y = [X, Y]$$

I suggest the following approach: first recall that if *Y* is a vector field on a manifold *M* and *F* : $M \to N$ is a smooth map into another manifold *N*, then the derivative map $F_{*,p}: T_pM \to T_{F(p)}N$ satisfies

$$(F_{*,p}Y_p)f = Y_p(f \circ F)$$

where *f* is any smooth function on *N*. (Convince yourself of this basic fact!) Let now *F* be a diffeomorphism. Then the push-forward of *Y* under *F* is defined as the vector field F_*Y on *N* given at any $q = F(p) \in N$ by

$$(F_*Y)_q = F_{*,p}Y_p.$$

Now suppose that f and Y are vector fields on N. It follows from the above remarks and the definition of

pull-back of functions $(F^* f = f \circ F)$ that

$$(Yf)(F(p)) = (F_*^{-1}Y)_p(F^*f)(p).$$

Now let $F = \Phi_t$ (the local flow of *X*) and take derivatives in *t* at t = 0.

(e) If θ is a differential *k*-form and *X*, *Y*₁,..., *Y_k* are smooth vector fields,

$$X\theta(Y_1,\ldots,Y_k) = (\mathscr{L}_X\theta)(Y_1,\ldots,Y_k) + \theta(\mathscr{L}_XY_1,Y_2,\ldots,Y_k) + \cdots + \theta(Y_1,\ldots,Y_{k-1},\mathscr{L}_XY_k).$$

As a suggestion, you may begin by observing that if $F: M \to N$ is a diffeomorphism between smooth manifolds, θ a *k*-form on *N* and Y_1, \ldots, Y_k vector fields on *N*, then

$$(\theta(Y_1,...,Y_k)) \circ F = (F^*\theta) \left(\left(F^{-1} \right)_* Y_1,..., \left(F^{-1} \right)_* Y_k \right).$$

Now let N = M, set $F = \Phi_t$ the local flow of X, and take the derivative in t on both sides of the equation.

(f) Show that for any smooth form θ and smooth vector field *X*,

$$\mathscr{L}_X\theta = (d\,i_X + i_X d)\theta.$$

Here are some potentially useful remarks: From the general properties of the exterior derivative (See the beginning of A.5, page 299 of Tu's text), if θ is a *k*-form and ω is a general smooth form, then

$$d(\theta \wedge \omega) = d\theta \wedge \omega + (-1)^k \theta \wedge d\omega.$$

Using the previous problem concerning the interior product, show that the operation $\mathcal{M}_X = di_X + i_X d$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{M}_X(\theta \wedge \omega) = \mathcal{M}_X\theta \wedge \omega + \theta \wedge \mathcal{M}_X\omega.$$

We need to show that $\mathcal{M}_X = \mathcal{L}_X$. From the previous remark, it suffices to show this equality of operations on smooth functions and 1-forms of the type f dg. Now, both \mathcal{L}_X and \mathcal{M}_X commute with d so, in fact, it suffices to check that the operations are the same on functions.

(g) Show that if θ is a differential 1-form and X_1, X_2 are smooth vector fields, then

$$d\theta(X_1, X_2) = X_1 \theta(X_2) - X_2 \theta(X_1) - \theta([X_1, X_2]).$$

Suggestion: express this identity in terms of the exterior derivative, the Lie derivative, and the interior product.

(h) Show that if θ is a smooth 2-form and X_1, X_2, X_3 are smooth vector fields, then

$$d\theta(X_1, X_2, X_3) = X_1\theta(X_2, X_3) - X_2\theta(X_1, X_3) + X_3\theta(X_1, X_2) - \theta([X_1, X_2], X_3) + \theta([X_1, X_3], X_2) - \theta([X_2, X_3], X_1).$$

Suggestion: follow the same pattern of proof as the previous problem.