

Columns of C are

consumption by each sector from other sectors to produce 1 unit of its own product (measured in \$)

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{From} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_1 \\ \vdots \\ S_i \\ \vdots \\ S_n \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} S_1 \cdots S_j \cdots S_n \\ \downarrow \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} c_{11} \cdots c_{1j} \cdots c_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ c_{i1} \cdots c_{ij} \cdots c_{in} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n1} \cdots c_{nj} \cdots c_{nn} \end{array} \right] \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Column j $\left[\begin{array}{c} c_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ c_{ij} \\ \vdots \\ c_{nj} \end{array} \right]$ represents ??

Scalar multiple x_j $\left[\begin{array}{c} c_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ c_{ij} \\ \vdots \\ c_{nj} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} x_j c_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ x_j c_{ij} \\ \vdots \\ x_j c_{nj} \end{array} \right]$ represents ??

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \text{production vector: } x_1 \text{ units from } S_1, \dots$$

What does product $C\mathbf{x}$ mean ?

$$C\mathbf{x} = x_1 \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} \\ \vdots \\ c_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n1} \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} c_{12} \\ \vdots \\ c_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n2} \end{bmatrix} + \dots + x_n \begin{bmatrix} c_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ c_{in} \\ \vdots \\ c_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

from S_1 ↘

$$= \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}x_1 + \dots + c_{1n}x_n \\ \vdots \\ c_{i1}x_1 + \dots + c_{in}x_n \\ \vdots \\ c_{n1}x_1 + \dots + c_{nn}x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \text{total demanded from sector } S_1 \text{ to produce } \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ \text{total demanded from sector } S_2 \text{ to produce } \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ \text{total demanded from sector } S_n \text{ to produce } \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

What does a solution for the equation $Cx = x$ mean ?

Add an open (“unproductive”) sector: only consumes

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \\ d_4 \end{bmatrix} = \text{demand from open sector}$$

Leontieff Open Economy Production Model

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & = & Cx + d \\ \text{total} & = & \text{demand} + \text{demand} \\ \text{production} & \text{from productive} & \text{from open} \\ & \text{sector to} & \text{sector} \\ & \text{produce } x & \\ & (\text{“intermediate} & + (\text{“final} \\ & \text{demand”}) & \text{demand”}) \end{array}$$

Example

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} .10 & .05 & .30 & .20 \\ .15 & .25 & .05 & .10 \\ .30 & .10 & .10 & .25 \\ .15 & .20 & .10 & .20 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(I - C) = \begin{bmatrix} .90 & - .05 & - .30 & - .20 \\ - .15 & .75 & - .05 & - .10 \\ - .30 & - .10 & .90 & - .25 \\ - .15 & - .20 & - .10 & .80 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Suppose final demand } \mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 25000 \\ 10000 \\ 30000 \\ 50000 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} .90 & - .05 & - .30 & - .20 \\ - .15 & .75 & - .05 & - .10 \\ - .30 & - .10 & .90 & - .25 \\ - .15 & - .20 & - .10 & .80 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 25000 \\ 10000 \\ 30000 \\ 50000 \end{bmatrix}$$

Row reduce (Matlab)

$$\begin{bmatrix} .90 & -.05 & -.30 & -.20 & 25000 \\ -.15 & .75 & -.05 & -.10 & 10000 \\ -.30 & -.10 & .90 & -.25 & 30000 \\ -.15 & -.20 & -.10 & .80 & 50000 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 85580 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 50620 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 96160 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 103220 \end{bmatrix}$$

↑
rounded to nearest \$

so $\mathbf{x} = C\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}$ if $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 85580 \\ 50620 \\ 96160 \\ 103220 \end{bmatrix}$, that is,

S_1 produces 85580 units (\$),
 S_2 produces 50620 units (\$), ...

Leontieff Open Economy Production Model

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{x} & = & \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d} \\ \\ \text{total} & = & \text{demand} + \text{demand} \\ \text{production} & & \text{from productive} + \text{from open} \\ & & \text{sector to} \quad \text{sector} \\ & & \text{produce } \mathbf{x} \\ & & (\text{"intermediate} + (\text{"final} \\ & & \text{demand"})) \quad \text{demand"}) \end{array}$$

Theorem about this model:

If C , d have nonnegative entries and all column sums are < 1 (every sector profitable), then

$(I - C)$ must be invertible

and so $(I - C)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}$ will have a unique solution: $\mathbf{x} = (I - C)^{-1}\mathbf{d}$

and all entries in solution \mathbf{x} will be ≥ 0

and so the solution is economically feasible

For a 2×2 matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$, the determinant of A is the number $ad - bc$

If $\det(A) \neq 0$, then $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible and

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{(ad-bc)} & -\frac{b}{(ad-bc)} \\ -\frac{c}{(ad-bc)} & \frac{a}{(ad-bc)} \end{bmatrix}$$

because it works:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{(ad-bc)} & -\frac{b}{(ad-bc)} \\ -\frac{c}{(ad-bc)} & \frac{a}{(ad-bc)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{ad}{(ad-bc)} - \frac{bc}{(ad-bc)} & \frac{-ab}{(ad-bc)} + \frac{ab}{(ad-bc)} \\ \frac{cd}{(ad-bc)} - \frac{dc}{(ad-bc)} & \frac{-cb}{(ad-bc)} + \frac{ad}{(ad-bc)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= a_{11} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} - a_{12} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ a_{13} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= a_{11}(a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32}) - a_{12}(a_{21}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{31}) + a_{13}(a_{21}a_{32} - a_{22}a_{31})$$

$$= a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}$$

Motivation behind the definition of determinant, using an invertible 3×3 matrix A

Assume $a_{11} \neq 0$ (or do row interchanges to make this true)

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{11}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{22} & a_{11}a_{23} \\ a_{11}a_{31} & a_{11}a_{32} & a_{11}a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sim \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \neq 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{21} \\ 0 & a_{11}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{31} & a_{11}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{31} \end{bmatrix}$$

(assume $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$,
or else swap row 2 and row 3 to make it true)

\vdots etc

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \neq 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0 & a_{11}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{21} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}\Delta \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Delta = (\color{blue}{a_{11}a_{22}a_{33}} + \color{red}{a_{12}a_{23}a_{31}} + \color{black}{a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}} \\ - \color{blue}{a_{11}a_{23}a_{32}} - \color{red}{a_{12}a_{21}a_{33}} - \color{black}{a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}})$$

So if A is invertible, we must have $\Delta \neq 0$

A is invertible means that $\det A = \Delta \neq 0$

(Δ is really the same formula as we used to define $\det A$)

So (for 3×3 matrices) the calculation illustrates why we defined $\det A$ the way we did

A is invertible $\Rightarrow \det A \neq 0$ (for the 3×3 case)

Will see that's true when A is $n \times n$

$A = n \times n$ matrix

$A_{ij} = (n - 1 \times (n - 1))$ matrix remaining after
erasing i^{th} row and j^{th} column of A

$C_{ij} = (i, j)$ cofactor of $A =$ the number
 $= (-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij})$

Definition of $\det A$ was (going across first row of A)

$$\det A = a_{11}C_{11} + a_{12}C_{12} + \dots + a_{1n}C_{1n}$$

FACT: any row or column can be used to compute $\det A$

across i^{th} row:

$$\det A = a_{i1}C_{i1} + a_{i2}C_{i2} + \dots + a_{in}C_{in}$$

or

down j^{th} column:

$$\det A = a_{1j}C_{1j} + a_{2j}C_{2j} + \dots + a_{nj}C_{nj}$$

Example $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Cofactor expansion down the third column:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_{13} C_{13} \quad + a_{23} C_{23} \quad + a_{33} C_{33} \\
 & = a_{13} (-1)^{1+3} \det A_{13} + a_{23} (-1)^{2+3} \det A_{23} \\
 & \quad + a_{33} (-1)^{3+3} \det A_{33} \\
 & = 3 \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - 0 \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 & = 3(-2) + 0 + 1(2) = -4
 \end{aligned}$$

Easiest to choose a row or column with lots of 0's
(across 2nd row)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -0 \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 & \quad - 0 \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2(-2) = -4
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem Let A be a square matrix:

1) if a multiple of one row of A is added to another to get a matrix B , then $\det A = \det B$

2) If two rows of A are interchanged to get B , then $\det B = -\det A$

3) If one row of A is multiplied by k to get B , then $\det B = k \det A$

$$\text{Note re 3) : } \det \begin{bmatrix} ka & kb \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = k \det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$\begin{matrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & B & & A \end{matrix}$

*3) is often used this way to “factor out k ”
from a row*

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = -4$$

A side observation, for 3×3 matrix A :

$$\det A = \Delta =$$

$$a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} \\ - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}$$

Δ is the sum of 6 terms, each with a $+$ or $-$ attached.
Each term is

- a product of 3 entries from A : no two from the same row, no two from the same column and
- every “triple” of that kind is included

$$\begin{bmatrix} \blacksquare & & \\ & \blacksquare & \\ & & \blacksquare \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} & \blacksquare & \\ \blacksquare & & \\ & & \blacksquare \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} & & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & & \\ & \blacksquare & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \blacksquare & & \\ & & \blacksquare \\ & \blacksquare & \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} & \blacksquare & \\ \blacksquare & & \\ & & \blacksquare \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} & & \blacksquare \\ & \blacksquare & \\ \blacksquare & & \end{bmatrix}$$

- the sign on a term is determined looking at its sequence of “**column subscripts**” and seeing whether an odd ($-$) or even ($+$) number of “adjacency switches” to get this sequence into natural order (1,2,3)

e.g. $+ a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} : (2, 3, 1) \rightarrow (2, 1, 3) \rightarrow (1, 2, 3)$
 $- a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} : (2, 1, 3) \rightarrow (1, 2, 3)$